

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NA	D INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,584 07/29/2003 Yan	s Labrou 1634.1002 4134
21171 7590 01/09/2008	EXAMINER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700	LEVINE, ADAM L
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
W. 1811. (C. C. 1, 2 C 2000)	3625
	MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE
	01/09/2008 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Supplemental	10/629 594	LARROLLETAL	
Notice of Allowability	10/628,584 Examiner	LABROU ET AL. Art Unit	
	Adam Levine	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERI herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTO NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATE of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR	TS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in DL-85) or other appropriate community of the	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. I subject to withdrawal from issue at the i	ΓHIS nitiativ
1. This communication is responsive to <i>Information dis</i>	closure statements filed 29 Noven	nber 2007 and 18 December 2007.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-31</u> .		•	
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Application	n No :	the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING Description of the comply will result in ABAN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requiremen	ts
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) white)F
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets	") must be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Dra	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	v (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		•	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Exa Paper No./Mail Date	miner's Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 'each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as su			
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREM 			
Attachment(s)			

Paper No./Mail Date See Continuation Sheet 4.

Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material

3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),

2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

5.		Notice of Informal Patent Application
6.		Interview Summary (PTO-413),
		Paper No./Mail Date
7.		Examiner's Amendment/Comment
Q	\square	Evaminer's Statement of Reasons for

৪. ⊠ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

PRIMARY EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600** Continuation of Attachment(s) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date: 29 November 2007, 18 December 2007.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on November 29, 2007, and December 18, 2007, were filed after the mailing date of the Post-Allowance communication on November 14, 2007. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Supplemental Reasons for Allowance

The previously noted reasons for allowance are maintained. The following is an examiner's statement of additional reasons for allowance in light of the references disclosed with the information disclosure statement filed November 29, 2007:

Regarding independent claim 1

Claim 1 recites a method for conducting a purchasing agreement for goods and services between a consumer and a merchant through a secure transaction server (STS) as a trusted third party, comprising *inter alia*:

generating, by the consumer independently of the merchant and the STS, a

consumer view of the purchasing agreement secured based upon both a first mobile

device parameter stored in a consumer mobile device and a second mobile device

parameter input to the consumer mobile device;

transmitting over an open and non-secure wireless communication channel the

Application/Control Number: 10/628,584

Art Unit: 3625

secured consumer view of the purchasing agreement to the merchant;

generating, by the merchant independently of the consumer and the STS, a secured merchant view of the agreement;

transmitting the consumer and merchant views of the agreement to the STS; and verifying, by the STS, conditions of the purchase agreement including identities of the merchant and the consumer in the independently generated secured consumer and merchant views of the purchase agreement, based upon the first and second consumer mobile device parameters for the secured consumer view;

Smith et al. (International Publication No. WO 01/35570 A1), teaches the use of two (or more) parameters provided by and transmitted between parties to secure and confirm agreement terms and the identities of the parties. Smith teaches the issuing and transmission of transactions IDs, party IDs, a transaction key, and potentially a user password or PIN by and between a transaction administrator, a merchant and a buyer. Smith discloses transmission of various parameters from buyer to merchant and then to the transaction administrator, and transmission of parameters form merchant to buyer and then to transaction administrator, however, Smith does not teach or fairly and reasonably suggest a method for conducting a purchasing agreement for goods and services between a consumer and a merchant through a secure transaction server (STS) as a trusted third party, comprising *inter alia*:

generating, by the consumer independently of the merchant and the STS, a consumer view of the purchasing agreement secured based upon both a first mobile

Application/Control Number: 10/628,584

Art Unit: 3625

device parameter stored in a consumer mobile device and a second mobile device

parameter input to the consumer mobile device;

transmitting over an open and non-secure wireless communication channel the secured consumer view of the purchasing agreement to the merchant;

generating, by the merchant independently of the consumer and the STS, a secured merchant view of the agreement;

transmitting the consumer and merchant views of the agreement to the STS; and verifying, by the STS, conditions of the purchase agreement including identities of the merchant and the consumer in the independently generated secured consumer and merchant views of the purchase agreement, based upon the first and second consumer mobile device parameters for the secured consumer view.

For example, Smith does not teach or fairly and reasonably suggest the independent generation of a consumer view of a purchasing agreement by a consumer and the independent generation of a merchant view of a purchasing agreement by a merchant. Smith does not teach or fairly and reasonably suggest the securing of the independently generated consumer view of the purchasing agreement by both a first parameter stored on a consumer device and a second parameter input into the device while the consumer view of the agreement is transmitted on a non-secure channel to the merchant, who transmits both versions of the agreement to the transaction administrator (STS), with said administrator then verifying the conditions of the agreement including identities of the parties and the independent views of the

Art Unit: 3625

agreement, based on the first and second consumer device parameters for the secured consumer view.

Conclusion

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam Levine whose telephone number is 571.272.8122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571.272.6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/628,584

Art Unit: 3625

Page 6

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adam Levine Patent Examiner December 28, 2007

MATTHEN S. GARTER 3600
MATTHEN EXAMINER 3600
PRIMARY EXAMINER 3600
TECHNOLOGY
TECHNOLOGY